Ensembl gene annotation project (e80)

*Danio rerio* (zebrafish, GRCz10 assembly)

This document describes the annotation process of the high-coverage zebrafish assembly, described in Figure 1. The first stage is Assembly Loading where databases are prepared and the assembly loaded into the database.

![Gene Annotation Pipeline Diagram](image)

**Figure 1: The Gene Annotation Pipeline**

### Repeat Finding

After loading into a database the genomic sequence was screened for sequence patterns including repeats using RepeatMasker [1] (version 3.2.8 with parameters `'-nolow -species "danio_rerio" -s'`),
RepeatModeler [2] (version open-1.0.5, to obtain a repeats library, then filtered for an additional RepeatMasker run), Dust [3] and TRF [4]. Both executions of RepeatMasker and Dust combined masked 51.4% of the species genome.

**Raw Computes**

Transcription start sites were predicted using Eponine–scan [5] and FirstEF [6]. CpG islands [Micklem, G.] longer than 400 bases and tRNAs [7] were also predicted. The results of Eponine-scan, FirstEF, CpG, and tRNAscan are for display purposes only; they are not used in the gene annotation process.

Genscan [8] was run across repeat-masked sequence and the results were used as input for UniProt [9], UniGene [10] and Vertebrate RNA [11] alignments by WU-BLAST [12]. Passing only Genscan results to BLAST is an effective way of reducing the search space and therefore the computational resources required. This resulted in 9668898 UniProt, 10372983 UniGene and 9788479 Vertebrate RNA sequences aligning to the genome.

**cDNA and EST Alignments**

Zebrafish cDNAs and ESTs were downloaded from ENA/Genbank/DDBJ, clipped to remove polyA tails, and aligned to the genome using Exonerate. The cDNA alignments provide supporting evidence for models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>cDNA/EST</th>
<th>Sequences Downloaded</th>
<th>Sequences Aligned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>zebrafish</td>
<td>cDNA</td>
<td>76810</td>
<td>42662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EST</td>
<td>1488339</td>
<td>1401811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: cDNA/EST alignments

All alignments were at a cut-off of 90% coverage and 80% identity.

**Model Generation**

Various sources of transcript and protein data were investigated and used to generate gene models using a variety of techniques. The data and techniques employed to generate models are outlined here. The numbers of gene models generated are described in Table 2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipeline</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Number of Models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Targeted</td>
<td>17434 UniProt zebrafish proteins</td>
<td>166047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47909 RefSeq zebrafish proteins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Similarity</td>
<td>348659 UniProt proteins</td>
<td>397630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNASEq</td>
<td>Sanger Institute, Yale University</td>
<td>77805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensembl Longest Translations</td>
<td>20318 Ensembl Release 78 proteins for human</td>
<td>10006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensembl Longest Translations</td>
<td>26459 Ensembl Release 78 proteins for zebrafish</td>
<td>26796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Gene Model Generation Overview

**Targeted Pipeline: Generating coding models using species specific proteins**

Protein sequences for zebrafish were downloaded from public databases (UniProt SwissProt/TrEMBL [9] and RefSeq [10]). The zebrafish protein sequences were mapped to the genome using Pmatch set at a low threshold (-T 14). Two sets of coding models were then produced from the proteins using Exonerate [13] and Genewise [14].

Where one protein sequence had generated more than one coding model at a locus, the BestTargeted module was used to select the coding model that most closely matched the source protein to take through to the next stage of the gene annotation process. This pipeline is shown in Figure 2.

**Similarity Pipeline: Generating coding models using proteins from related species**

Coding models were generated using data from related species. WU-BLAST was rerun for the UniProt alignments from the Raw Computes step and the results were passed to Genewise [14] to build coding models.
RNASeq data provided by the Sanger Institute and Yale University were used in the annotation. This comprised paired end data from samples from whole embryos and olfactory epithelia. The available reads were aligned to the genome using BWA. The Ensembl RNASeq pipeline was used to process the BWA alignments and create further split read alignments using Exonerate.

The split reads and the processed BWA alignments were combined to produce 77783 transcript models in total. The predicted open reading frames were compared to UniProt proteins using WU-BLAST. Models with poorly scoring or no BLAST alignments were split into a separate class.

Ensembl Longest Translations

The longest translation for each protein coding gene in Ensembl proteins release 78 for both human and zebrafish were downloaded. These proteins were aligned against the new zebrafish genome (GRCz10) using Exonerate to produce a set of coding models.
Filtering the Models

The filtering phase decided the subset of protein-coding transcript models, generated from the model-building pipelines, that comprise the final protein-coding gene set.

Models were filtered using the TranscriptConsensus, LayerAnnotation and GeneBuilder modules.

Apollo software [16] was used to visualise the results of filtering.

LayerAnnotation

The LayerAnnotation module was used to define a hierarchy of input data sets, from most preferred to least preferred. The output of this pipeline included all transcript models from the highest ranked input set. Models from lower ranked input sets are included only if their exons do not overlap a model from an input set higher in the hierarchy.

The model sets were used in the following order:

- Targeted and highly rated RNASeq models
- Similarity models
- Lower-rated RNASeq models

Addition of UTR to coding models

The set of coding models was extended into the untranslated regions (UTRs) using RNASeq, cDNA and EST sequences. At the UTR addition stage 125197 gene models out of 144110 non-RNASeq pipeline generated gene models had UTR added.

Generating multi-transcript genes

The above steps generated a large set of potential transcript models, many of which overlapped one another. Redundant transcript models were collapsed and the remaining unique set of transcript models were clustered into multi-transcript genes where each transcript in a gene has at least one coding exon that overlaps a coding exon from another transcript within the same gene.
At this stage the gene set comprised 23178 genes with 29975 transcripts.

**Pseudogenes**

The Pseudogene module was run to identify pseudogenes from within the set of gene models. A total of 50 genes were labelled as pseudogenes or processed pseudogenes.

**Creating The Final Gene Set**

**ncRNAs**

Small structured non-coding genes were added using annotations taken from RFAM [17] and miRBase [18]. WU-BLAST was run for these sequences and models built using the Infernal software suite [19].

**Cross-referencing**

Before public release the transcripts and translations were given external references (cross-references to external databases). Translations were searched for signatures of interest and labelled where appropriate.

**Stable Identifiers**

Stable identifiers were assigned to each gene, transcript, exon and translation. When annotating a species for the first time, these identifiers are auto-generated. In all subsequent annotations for a species, the stable identifiers are propagated based on comparison of the new gene set to the previous gene set.

[As zebrafish has been previously released in Ensembl a comparison was made to the previous gene set.]

**Final Gene Set Summary**

The final gene set consists of 23053 protein coding genes, including 13 mitochondrial genes. These contain 29796 transcripts. A total of 50 pseudogenes were identified. 3262 ncRNAs were added by the ncRNA pipeline.
Figure 3: Supporting evidence for the protein coding gene models
Merging Ensembl and HAVANA gene sets

Following the completion of the Ensembl gene set, Ensembl annotations and manual annotations (primarily generated by the HAVANA team at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute) from the Vega database [20, 21] were merged at the transcript level to create the final gene set. The Vega database (as of 20 March 2015) contained 23,971 genes and 37,961 transcripts. In the merge process, Ensembl and HAVANA transcripts were merged if they had identical intron chains. If transcripts from the two annotation sources matched at all internal exon-intron boundaries, i.e. had identical splicing pattern, the Ensembl model was merged into the HAVANA model and the resulting merged transcript would adopt the exon-intron structure of the HAVANA transcript. Transcripts which had not been merged, either because of differences in internal exon-intron boundaries or presence of transcripts in only one annotation source, were transferred from the source to the final gene set intact.

Biotype conflicts between Ensembl and HAVANA were always reported to the HAVANA team for investigation, and when resolved, could improve the merged gene set in the future. As for supporting evidence, the merge of Ensembl and HAVANA transcripts also involved merging of protein and cDNA supporting evidence associated with the transcripts to ensure the basis on which the annotations were made would not be lost.

An important feature of the merged gene set is the presence of all HAVANA source transcripts. This has been made possible by allowing HAVANA annotation to take precedence over Ensembl's when merging transcripts that do not match at their terminal exons or have different biotypes. Of all HAVANA transcripts, 31.9% of them were merged with Ensembl transcripts. The vast majority of merged transcripts (99%) are of protein-coding biotype. HAVANA transcripts that were not merged (68.1% of HAVANA source transcripts) were mostly alternative splice variants, pseudogenes or non-coding. These transcripts were fully transferred into the final gene set. The final Ensembl-HAVANA set consisted of 31,916 genes and 57,332 transcripts. Of these transcripts, 20.8% (11,965) were the result of merging Ensembl and
HAVANA annotations, 34.6% (19,866) originated from Ensembl, 44.5% (25,501) originated from HAVANA, and the remaining 0.1% were incorporated from other sources as mitochondrial genes.
**Further information**

The Ensembl gene set is generated automatically, meaning that gene models are annotated using the Ensembl gene annotation pipeline. The main focus of this pipeline is to generate a conservative set of protein-coding gene models, although non-coding genes and pseudogenes may also be annotated.

Every gene model produced by the Ensembl gene annotation pipeline is supported by biological sequence evidence (see the “Supporting evidence” link on the left-hand menu of a Gene page or Transcript page); *ab initio* models are not included in our gene set. *Ab initio* predictions and the full set of cDNA and EST alignments to the genome are available on our website.

The quality of a gene set is dependent on the quality of the genome assembly. Genome assembly can be assessed in a number of ways, including:

1. **Coverage estimate**
   - A higher coverage usually indicates a more complete assembly.
   - Using Sanger sequencing only, a coverage of at least 2x is preferred.

2. **N50 of contigs and scaffolds**
   - A longer N50 usually indicates a more complete genome assembly.
   - Bearing in mind that an average human gene may be 10-15 kb in length, contigs shorter than this length will be unlikely to hold full-length gene models.

3. **Number of contigs and scaffolds**
   - A lower number of toplevel sequences usually indicates a more complete genome assembly.

4. **Alignment of cDNAs and ESTs to the genome**
A higher number of alignments, using stringent thresholds, usually indicates a more complete genome assembly.

More information on the Ensembl automatic gene annotation process can be found at:

- [http://www.ensembl.org/info/genome/genebuild/index.html](http://www.ensembl.org/info/genome/genebuild/index.html)
- [https://github.com/Ensembl/ensembl-doc/blob/master/pipeline_docs/the_genebuild_process.txt](https://github.com/Ensembl/ensembl-doc/blob/master/pipeline_docs/the_genebuild_process.txt)
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